

1 Evan J. Werbel (*pro hac vice*)  
2 John M. Taladay (*pro hac vice*)  
3 BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.  
4 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
5 Washington, DC 20004  
6 Tel: (202) 639-1323  
7 Fax: (202) 585-4077  
8 Evan.werbel@bakerbotts.com  
9 John.taladay@bakerbotts.com

10 Stuart C. Plunkett (SBN 187971)  
11 BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.  
12 101 California Street, Suite 3600  
13 San Francisco, CA 94111  
14 Telephone: (415) 291-6203  
15 Facsimile: (415) 291-6303  
16 Stuart.plunkett@bakerbotts.com

17 *Counsel for Defendants Philips North America LLC, and Lite-*  
18 *On Sales & Distribution, Inc.*

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
20 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
21 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

22 IN RE OPTICAL DISK DRIVE PRODUCTS  
23 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. 3:15-cv-03248 RS

Case No. 3:15-cv-06325 RS

MDL Case No. 3:10-md-2143 RS

24 This Document Relates to:

25 *Alfred H. Siegel v. Sony Corporation et al.*

26 *Peter Kravitz v. Sony Corporation et al.*

27 **DECLARATION OF TIFFANY B.  
28 GELOTT IN SUPPORT OF  
DEFENDANTS PHILIPS NORTH  
AMERICA LLC AND LITE-ON  
SALES & DISTRIBUTION, INC.'S  
JOINT MOTION FOR SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT**

1 I, Tiffany Gelott, declare and state as follows:

2 1. I am an associate with Baker Botts LLP, attorneys for Philips North America LLC  
3 and Lite-On Sales & Distribution, Inc. in the above-captioned action. I am a member of the bar of  
4 the District of Columbia and have been admitted to practice before this Court in this matter *pro*  
5 *hac vice*.

6 2. I make this declaration in support of Defendants Philips North America LLC and  
7 Lite-on Sales & Distribution, Inc.'s Joint Motion for Summary Judgment. The information  
8 contained herein is based on my own personal knowledge, and if called as a witness I could, and  
9 would, testify competently that the matters set forth herein are true.

10 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of an excerpt of the  
11 Deposition of Kenneth Rubenstein (December 8, 2016) ("Rubenstein Dep.").

12 4. Circuit City and RadioShack never served any interrogatories or document  
13 requests regarding PNA or LSDI.

14 5. Circuit City and RadioShack did not probe the subject of PNA or LSDI's  
15 involvement in the conspiracy at any witness deposition.

16 6. PNA and LSDI were not mentioned substantively at any PLDS witness deposition.

17 7. Circuit City and RadioShack did not ask a single question about PNA or LSDI at  
18 any fact or expert deposition.

19 8. On December, 8, 2016, Kenneth Rubenstein, an attorney engaged by members of  
20 the DVD3c and DVD6c patent pools to evaluate patents in connection with those pools, testified  
21 that he had never heard of LSDI. Mr. Rubenstein also testified that he was not certain of PNA's  
22 connection to Philips.

23 9. Plaintiffs' expert reports and rebuttals only mention PNA or LSDI when listing  
24 defendants.

25 10. The expert reports of Dr. Frankel, who determined damages allegedly caused by  
26 the conspiracy to Circuit City and RadioShack, do not include any evidence of damages  
27 specifically caused by PNA or LSDI.

Case No. 3:10-MD-02143 RS

